

From: tjackson@ichips.intel.com@inetgw
To: Microsoft ATR
Date: 1/23/02 3:58pm
Subject: Microsoft Settlement

To Whom It May Concern,

I feel that the Proposed Final Judgement (PFJ) in the Microsoft anti-trust case will not truly fulfill its purpose.

The PFJ contains misleading and overly narrow definitions and provisions. These narrow definitions provide large loopholes Microsoft will be able to exploit to continue their anticompetitive practices.

It also fails to prohibit intentional incompatibilities historically used by Microsoft. This will be used again and again to prevent new products from being able to compete in the software domain. It was used with DOS, and will likely be used again against Linux-based applications.

I hope that you will at the very least re-work the PFJ so that it covers the loopholes people have already found. Without doing that, Microsoft will have free reign to do what it has historically done in the past, and the antitrust case will have only been a waste of my money (as a tax payer).

sincerely,

TJ

--

Trey Jackson
tjackson@ichips.intel.com

"Life isn't too short, it's that you're dead for so long."

-- No Fear